$\qquad$ Grade Level: $\qquad$
$5^{\text {th }}$ Grade

Instruction Interval: $9 / 1 / 15-5 / 1 / 16$

SLO Type: $\sqrt{ }$ Class-level $\square$ Course-level or Grade-level $\square$ Targeted $\sqrt{ }$ Tiered

## Student Population

Who is included in this objective? If a targeted subgroup, how will the other students be addressed in another SLO? Instructional Support Video \#1 OH
There are 30 students enrolled in the class, 25 students completed the Delta Math $5^{\text {th }}$ grade readiness screener last spring for baseline data and all 30 completed the screener in the beginning of the fall semester. There are 18 boys and 12 girls. Seven of the students have IEPs, though only two need math related accommodations (NOTE: may wish to note the accommodations for $S W D$ and $E L$ ). There are also three students who qualify as an English Learner. This SLO will set goals for all 30 students based on available baseline data and will set rigorous and attainable goals for each student as one of four tiered group goal.
$\checkmark$ Describes the demographics of the class accurately.
$\checkmark$ Justifies why a targeted group was selected or includes the entire class.
$\times$ If subgroups are excluded, specifies who and if they are covered by another SLO; otherwise, why not

## Learning Standards

What are the essential standards connected to the learning content? Support Video \#2 OH
There are six "readiness" standards from the previous year that should have been mastered as foundational standards for $5^{\text {th }}$ grade content, these include: 4.NBT. 5 (Multiply 4 digit by 1 and 2 digit), 4.NBT. 6 (Divide 4 digit by 1 digit), 4.NF. 2 (Compare fractions with different numerator and denominator), 4.NF.3b (Convert improper fraction to mixed number), 4.NF.3c (Add/Subtract mixed numbers with like denominators), and 4.NF.4b (Multiply whole number by fraction). These standards will be retaught if necessary as just in time intervention when this prior knowledge is needed for grade level instruction.

There are seven essential (readiness) standards to be measured at the end of this course of instruction, these include: 5.OA. 1 (Evaluate expressions with parenthesis), 5.NBT. 5 (Multiply multi-digit numbers), 5.NBT. 6 (Divide 4 digit numbers), 5.NF. 1 (Add/Subtract mixed numbers with different denominators), 5.NF.4b (Multiply fractions), 5.NF.7a (Divide unit fraction by whole number), and 5.NF.7b (Divide whole number by unit fraction). Instruction throughout the year will include additional state-adopted standards, however, these seven have been identified as part of a mastery learning loop in my classroom.

NOTE: Although the Delta Math Readiness Screeners align to the complexity of each "readiness standard" as foundational components for state-adopted standards, these items may not reflect the complexity of the entire content for each grade level (i.e. some standards have multiple parts or extend to context).

[^0]Baseline Data
What data were reviewed in the development of the SLO? How do the data support the SLO?
Support Video \#3 OH;
Data Template OH
When students completed the Delta Math $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Screener (based on foundational $4^{\text {th }}$ grade standards) in the spring of 2015, 12 of the 25 performed at bench mark on all six standards. Of the 30 students who completed the $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Screener in September, only 8 of the 30 met benchmark on all six standards. On the state-level assessment (M-STEP), 16 students demonstrated proficiency, four of these were considered at the advanced level. Diagnostic data to determine standard level performance was not available at this time from the state test.

Using the Spring Delta Math and Fall Delta Math $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Screener (see sample reports attached), combined with the Spring State Assessment, students were placed into four tiers, as seen in the table below:

| Group | \# of students | Student Initials | $\Delta$ Math $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Spring \& Fall | State Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced | 4 | DG, SK, AT, KU | Proficient on all 6 readiness standards | Advanced |
| Benchmark | 11 | TA, IB, LC, JD, MG, RL, MM, DS, HS, TS, , WV | Proficient on at least 5 of 6 readiness standards | Proficient |
| Targeted or At-Risk | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DB, BC, RD, CD, AbH, AlH, SK, } \\ & \text { TM, BS, DS, YV, FV } \end{aligned}$ | Proficient on at least 3 of 6 readiness standards | Proficient or Near Proficient |
| Intensive | 3 | ZG, JT, VW | Proficient on 0 to 2 readiness standards | Not Proficient |

With the need to utilize local data for qualifying students for Section 31a "At Risk" funds, the middle group that is below benchmark has been named "Targeted or At Risk." Goals will be set for each of the four groups under the Growth Target section. In the intensive group, ZG missed all six readiness standards and may be a candidate for completing the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade Tier 3 Screener to gain a better understanding of his knowledge on previous standards.

Delta Math Intervention Reports are available to identify which students missed each readiness standard.
$\begin{array}{ll}\checkmark & \text { Identifies sources of information about students (e.g. prior year test scores, trend data and/or pre-tests) } \\ \checkmark & \text { Summarizes student data to demonstrate specific student need for the content. }\end{array}$
Assessment
How will you measure the outcomes of this SLO, which tool(s) will be reviewed to determine success criteria?
Support Video \#4 OH; Assessment Checklist IN
Delta Math has identified "readiness" standards as the most essential learning outcomes leading to Algebra 1. All students will be given the Delta Math $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Screener at least twice, once in September and again in November or December. Students who have not mastered all readiness standards, will be screened again in March or April, then continued intervention and progress monitoring if necessary. All students will also screen up in the spring taking the Delta Math $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Screener in May, with re-teaching and progress monitoring if necessary till the end of the school year. The success criteria for Delta Math and all assessments in inQwizIT meet .67 or higher typically on three to five items (i.e. $2 / 3,3 / 4$, or $4 / 5$ ).

* Describes assessment alignment to the course content and emphasizes constructed-response or performance tasks that require higher-order thinking skills OR
$\checkmark$ Identifies national, state or regional assessments that have been reviewed by content experts to effectively measure course content and reliably measure student learning as intended.
$\checkmark$ Indicates that there are clear answer key, scoring guides and/or rubrics for all assessment items.
$\checkmark$ Describes how progress monitoring will occur
Growth Targets

For an "Effective" rating for this SLO:

| Group | \# of <br> students | Student Initials | $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ Math <br> $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}$ Gr Readiness | Spring $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ Math <br> $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ Gr Readiness |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Advanced | 4 | DG, SK, AT, KU | Proficient on all 6 <br> standards (e.g. 4.NF.4b) | Proficient on all 7 <br> standards (e.g. 5.NF.7b) |
| Benchmark | 11 | TA, IB, LC, JD, MG, RL, MM, DS, HS, <br> TS, , WV | Proficient on all 6 <br> standards (e.g. 4.NF.4b) | Proficient on at least 6 of <br> 7 readiness standards |
| Targeted <br> (At-Risk) | 12 | DB, BC, RD, CD, AbH, AlH, SK, TM, <br> BS, DS, YV, FV | Proficient on all 6 <br> standards (e.g. 4.NF.4b) | Proficient on at least 5 of <br> 7 readiness standards |
| Intensive | 3 | ZG, JT, VW | Proficient at least 4 of <br> 6 standards | Proficient on at least 3 of <br> 7 readiness standards |

Students who fail to demonstrate proficiency by early spring $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Screener, will receive continued support and an opportunity to demonstrate proficiency on three successive quick checks. In addition, all students will be assessed on $5^{\text {th }}$ grade standards by screening up in May using the Delta Math $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Screener.

For a "Highly Effective" rating for this SLO:

| Group | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \# of } \\ & \text { students } \end{aligned}$ | Student Initials | $\Delta$ Math $5^{\text {th }} \mathbf{G r}$ Readiness | Spring $\Delta$ Math $6^{\text {th }} \mathbf{G r}$ Readiness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced | 4 | DG, SK, AT, KU | Proficient on all 6 standards (e.g. 4.NF.4b) | Proficient on all 7 standards (e.g. 5.NF.7b) |
| Benchmark | 11 | TA, IB, LC, JD, MG, RL, MM, DS, HS, TS, , WV | Proficient on all 6 standards (e.g. 4.NF.4b) | Proficient on all 7 readiness standards |
| Targeted (At-Risk) | 12 | DB, BC, RD, CD, AbH, AlH, SK, TM, BS, DS, YV, FV | Proficient on all 6 standards (e.g. 4.NF.4b) | Proficient on at least 6 of 7 readiness standards |
| Intensive | 3 | ZG, JT, VW | Proficient at least 5 of 6 standards | Proficient on at least 5 of 7 readiness standards |

[^1]Rationale
What are the quantitative targets that will demonstrate achievement of this SLO? Support Video \#6 OH
These targets are focused and rigorous. In years past, proficiency on these essential standards were not attained at this level by an entire class. The composite of this class did not show this level of competency based on baseline data from the previous year. In fact, the Fall $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Screener showed last year's class had over $50 \%$ of students missing two standards or more. The intensive students are set to significantly close the gap based on this SLO and ZG will move from "Intensive" to "At Risk" if the goal is met. For those students who are still "At Risk" at the end of the year, the data from Delta Math will inform our summer school opportunities extended to these students.

This SLO aligns with our building SIP Math Goal as seen in activities under Strategy 1 (Targeted instruction on readiness standards) and Strategy 2 (Teachers will assist students struggling), see the building SIP and http://deltamath.org/ for specific activities.
$\checkmark$ Explains why target is appropriate for the population.
$\checkmark$ Explains how targets align to broader school and district goals.
Comments from Approval Committee Members
This SLO represents one portion of the student growth on an Ed Eval. In order to use multiple data points and since all checkboxes were not marked, this SLO will likely be used in combination with another SLO using interim assessments and/or state-level SGPs.

For additional sample SLOs done by various states, the formats are slightly different, though the components are the same, visit: Louisiana, Rhode Island, Ohio, or New York.

For additional information on SLOs, contact Doug Greer (DGreer@oaisd.org) or
For information on Delta Math, contact Mike Klavon (MKlavon@oaisd.org)

| SLO Approval Committee | Date | Signature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mike Klavon, department chair | 9/30/2015 |  |
| Sample Name, teacher | 9/30/2015 |  |
| Additional Names ... |  |  |
| Doug Greer, principal | 10/5/2015 |  |



Lowest Standard: 4.NBT. 6 (Long Division) (60.4\% Spring 2015) (46.1\% Fall 2016)
NOTE: These graphs are better than previous years and statewide data.

## $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Trend Data from Previous Cohorts (previous $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students)



Fall: Winter:
$16.4 \%$ mastered all six $35.9 \%$ mastered all six
$35.8 \%$ mastered at least 5 readiness standards $63.4 \%$ mastered at least 5 readiness standards

Student Proficiency on 5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Standards (Fall, Winter 2014)

4.NBT. 6 (Long Division) is the lowest of the six readiness standards

NOTE: These graphs are consistent with previous years and statewide data.

## $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Trend Data from Previous Cohorts (previous $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students)



Student Proficiency on $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ Grade Readiness Standards (Spring 2015)


Readiness Standard
5.NF. 1 (Add/Subtract Mixed Numbers) is the lowest of the seven readiness standards at $62 \%$

NOTE: These graphs are consistent with previous years and better than statewide data.

## $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Trend Data from Previous Cohorts (previous $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students 2 years ago)



All readiness standards reached $80 \%$ or more of students by the spring assessment.


[^0]:    $\checkmark$ Aligns to specific state-adopted standards
    $\checkmark$ Represents the essential standards or the big ideas to be taught during the course of instruction
    $\square$ Reaches the appropriate level of complexity for each state-adopted standard measured

[^1]:    $\checkmark$ Baseline and trend data support established targets
    $\checkmark$ Demonstrated use of data to identify student needs and determine appropriate targets
    $\checkmark$ Ensures all students in this SLO have a rigorous and attainable target, consider setting differentiated growth targets
    $\checkmark$ Demonstrates teacher knowledge of students and content.

