Strand I: Teaching for Learning

The school focuses on quality teaching and learning for all students. It implements essential, aligned curriculum, ensures it is taught effectively, and uses multiple assessments to monitor student learning and guide instructional decisions.

| Standard 1: Curriculum The school has an aligned, coherent plan for curriculum, instruction and assessment that serves as the basis for instructional | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | ligned, conerent plan for ' active involvement in th | | | asis for instructional |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| | | | \bigcirc | |
| A. Alignment | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ The written curriculum | ☐ Sustained and |
| | | characteristics | references Michigan's | supported by |
| Guiding Question: | AND/OR | of this indicator | standards as adopted by the | district policies, |
| What is the | ☐ Implementation | are being implemented | State Board of Education. ☐ The school's enacted | systems and practices. |
| evidence that our | of some of the | with fidelity; | curriculum is aligned to the | practices. |
| school has a | characteristics of | however, one | district's intended curriculum | |
| written | this indicator has | or more | to ensure vertical and | |
| curriculum | begun. | characteristics | horizontal alignment by grade | |
| aligned with | | are not fully | levels and courses. | |
| Michigan's | | implemented. | ☐ Curriculum documents | |
| standards as | | 0.0 | include guidance for | |
| adopted by the State Board of | | OR | accommodations and modifications for all learners. | |
| Education? | | □ AII | ☐ A systematic and documented | |
| Eddcation. | | characteristics | process is used to | |
| | | of this indicator | collaboratively review the | |
| | | are being | school's written curriculum | |
| | | implemented to | for alignment to state | |
| | | some degree, | standards and district | |
| | | but not | curriculum. | |
| | | consistently throughout the | | |
| | | school. | | |
| Sample Evidence | | 301001. | | |
| • | ples of evidence that could o | lemonstrate implementatio | on of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do</u> | o not have to be in place |
| for full implementation | ; a school may have other ev | idence that is not listed her | re. | |
| ☐ Grade leve | /department/learning co | mmunity meeting mini | utes reflect discussions regarding stat | us of alignment |
| | ns reference state standa | • | | ar an granden |
| ☐ Classroom | observation data referen | ces state standards and | d alignment to district's curriculum | |
| ☐ Classroom | observations of learning | objectives (objectives a | re posted and followed) | |
| ☐ Surveys of | Enacted Curriculum | | | |
| ☐ Use of curr | iculum management soft | ware is documented | | |
| | | | nat is taught and where it is taught | |
| | les are aligned to the dist | rict curriculum and incl | ude detailed information useful in da | ily instructional |
| practice | urriculum do aumonto far | students | | |
| | urriculum documents for | students | | |
| | audit documentation | | | |
| ☐ Other | | | | |

Standard 1: Curriculum The school has an aligned, coherent plan for curriculum, instruction and assessment that serves as the basis for instructional staff's and students' active involvement in the construction and application of knowledge. **School Indicator Beginning Partial** Full Implementation of All Sustained Implementation Implementation **Characteristics of this Indicator** Implementation \bigcirc B. Coherence □ Planning for ☐ Some ☐ Curriculum is clearly ☐ Sustained and characteristics communicated to supported by **Guiding Question:** of this indicator AND/OR stakeholders (students, staff, district policies, families, community systems and are being How do we know ☐ Implementation implemented practices. members, partnering of some of the agencies) in a manner they that all educators with fidelity; understand how characteristics of however, one or can understand. ☐ All instructional staff have a the content they this indicator has more teach builds on, begun. characteristics deep and shared are not fully understanding of the or relates to, content in other implemented. standards they are to teach, grades/subjects? and how they connect to OR other grades/subjects. ☐ Student learning outcomes are well defined, monitored, characteristics and measured. of this indicator ☐ Instructional staff develops are being and implements lessons implemented to based on the curriculum; these lessons reflect high some degree, but not expectations for all students. consistently ☐ Instructional staff engages in throughout the regular discussions of student school. learning expectations, both horizontally (with colleagues in their grades or subjects) and vertically (across grades). Sample Evidence The following are examples of evidence that could demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples do not have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. ☐ Lesson/unit plans reflect common outcomes, student learning expectations, connections and inter-relationships in the curriculum documents ☐ Newsletters, on-line communication, displays of student work/portfolios, social media, brochures of grade level/subject curriculum content ☐ Standards-based/standards-referenced report cards ☐ Surveys and/or interviews with all staff ☐ Classroom observations, walk-throughs ☐ Surveys and/or interviews with students, parents, community members ☐ Surveys of Enacted Curriculum ☐ Grade level/department/learning community meeting minutes reflecting common outcomes, student learning expectations, connections and inter-relationships in the curriculum documents ☐ Pacing guides are organized with detailed information useful in daily instructional practice ☐ Other

Standard 2: Instruction A school-wide system is in place for teachers to collaboratively plan, monitor, and refine research-based instructional practices aligned to the district curriculum and state standards. Instructional practices promote high expectations, engage learners, and support the needs of all students. **School Indicator Partial** Full Implementation of All **Beginning** Sustained **Implementation Implementation Characteristics of this Indicator** Implementation □ Planning for □ Some ☐ Instruction is collaboratively Sustained and C. Instructional planned to align to the supported by Design characteristics AND/OR of this indicator district's written curriculum. district policies, **Guiding Question:** systems and are being ☐ Implementation implemented *Instruction is designed to:* practices. How do we of some of the with fidelity; ☐ align with student learning characteristics of needs that have been ensure that our however, one or instructional this indicator has more identified through the use of design meets the begun. characteristics universal screening/formative needs of all of our are not fully assessments. learners? implemented. ☐ incorporate appropriate formative and summative OR assessments, research-based practices and rigorous thinking. characteristics ☐ meet the learning needs of all of this indicator students. are being ☐ utilize multiple resources, implemented to appropriate technology some degree, integration, and areas of but not student interest to enhance instruction. consistently throughout the school. Sample Evidence The following are examples of evidence that could demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples do not have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. ☐ Meeting agenda/minutes of grade level/content area team meetings that indicate instructional alignment activities ☐ Student goal setting practices □ Data collection process to screen and monitor student achievement (universal screener informs instructional design classroom, grade level, building) ☐ Common lesson plan template ☐ Lesson plans that include formative and summative assessments, depth of knowledge, and technology integration ☐ Lesson plans that include instructional modifications for students based on their needs and interests ☐ Evidence of differentiated instruction in Tier I based on student needs ☐ Intervention schedule for students ☐ Teacher schedules/school calendars show collaborative planning/meeting times

☐ Samples of student work that demonstrate rigorous thinking and high expectations for student achievement

☐ Other

Standard 2: Instruction A school-wide system is in place for teachers to collaboratively plan, monitor, and refine research-based instructional practices aligned to the district curriculum and state standards. Instructional practices promote high expectations, engage learners, and support the needs of all students. **Partial Full Implementation of All School Indicator Beginning** Sustained **Implementation** Implementation **Characteristics of this Indicator** Implementation ☐ Some □ Instructional delivery Sustained D. Effective □ Planning for Instructional and characteristics of incorporates a variety of **Practices** AND/OR this indicator are research-based instructional supported by being practices that are implemented district implemented ☐ Implementation **Guiding Question:** and monitored for fidelity and policies, of some of the with fidelity; effectiveness. systems and How do we define characteristics of however, one or ☐ Instruction engages students in practices. and ensure high this indicator has more higher levels of cognitive quality instruction begun. characteristics thinking, leading to greater in all of our are not fully depth of knowledge. classrooms? implemented. ☐ Instruction ensures that students are engaged in OR applications and transfer of their learning beyond the classroom. ☐ Teachers exhibit instructional characteristics of this indicator are flexibility and responsiveness being that allows for timely adjustments to instruction implemented to some degree, based on student needs. but not ☐ A system of interventions is in place for all students, including consistently throughout the developing and advanced school. students. ☐ Instruction integrates appropriate technology in order to enhance delivery and engage students. Sample Evidence The following are examples of evidence that could demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples do not have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. ☐ Student engagement surveys ☐ Walk-through or observation data regarding engagement, evidence of learning, effective instruction, use of researchbased strategies, effective questioning, student work, artifacts of real-world application, evidence of cognitive rigor, clarity of learning targets, explicit vocabulary instruction, flexible grouping, technology integration ☐ Observational protocols that monitor implementation of instructional practices across the school ☐ Universal screener data is used to assess student strengths and challenges to drive instructional decisions ☐ Professional learning community minutes/agendas reflecting use of data to drive instructional decisions ☐ School Improvement Plan reflects the implemented research-based instructional strategies ☐ Staffing and scheduling demonstrate implementation of a multi-tiered system of support ☐ Teacher/student artifacts that demonstrate differentiated lessons and assignments ☐ Surveys of Enacted Curriculum (particularly use of depth-of-knowledge data)

☐ Modifications made to unit/lesson plans based on assessment data and student needs

☐ Other

Standard 2: Instruction A school-wide system is in place for teachers to collaboratively plan, monitor, and refine research-based instructional practices aligned to the district curriculum and state standards. Instructional practices promote high expectations, engage learners, and support the needs of all students. **School Indicator Partial Beginning Full Implementation of All Sustained** Implementation Implementation **Characteristics of this Indicator** Implementation ☐ Some ☐ The school culture is one of Learning ☐ Planning for Sustained and **Environment** high academic expectations for characteristics of supported by this indicator are AND/OR district policies, ☐ High expectations for students systems and **Guiding Question:** being are accompanied with ☐ Implementation of implemented practices. appropriate academic and How do we some of the with fidelity; social-emotional support ensure that our characteristics of however, one or structures and safe learning this indicator has more environments that encourage environment begun. characteristics positive risk-taking. supports student are not fully ☐ Classroom management, use of success? implemented. space, procedures, and scheduling ensure the OR maximum amount of time for learning. □ School and classroom characteristics of behavioral expectations are this indicator are communicated to staff, being students and families and implemented to enforced consistently to some degree, support student success. but not consistently throughout the school. Sample Evidence The following are examples of evidence that could demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples do not have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. ☐ Student goal setting ☐ Walkthrough or observation data regarding engagement, classroom management, effective classroom/school procedures, evidence of high expectations for all students, positive interactions between teacher/student and student/student ☐ Student, staff and parent perception surveys (e.g. NCA surveys, climate surveys, Michigan Profile for Healthy Youth (MiPHY)) ☐ Staff professional learning on topics that enhance the learning environment (e.g., school culture and climate, student engagement and connectedness) ☐ Partnerships with community agencies are documented via agreement forms, goals, meeting minutes, lesson plans

that include service learning, etc. (e.g. mental health, homeless shelters, domestic assault shelters, businesses)

☐ Multi-tiered system of support (process, structures, data collection/use, and interventions for learning and behavior)

□ Meeting agendas/minutes that reflect discussions and decisions regarding the learning environment
 □ School handbook reflects behavioral expectations for all students and is up to date with current law

☐ Positive Behavioral expectations and learning inspirations are posted throughout the school

☐ Data walls in classrooms and/or department/grade level areas

□ Other

5

Standard 2: Instruction A school-wide system is in place for teachers to collaboratively plan, monitor, and refine research-based instructional practices aligned to the district curriculum and state standards. Instructional practices promote high expectations, engage learners, and support the needs of all students. **School Indicator Partial Full Implementation of All** Beginning Sustained Implementation Implementation Characteristics of this Indicator Implementation □ Some Reflection ☐ Planning for ☐ Instructional staff collaborates Sustained and characteristics of to review, reflect on, and supported by **Guiding Question:** this indicator are refine their instructional district policies, AND/OR practices based on multiple systems and being implemented How do we create ☐ Implementation assessments such as formative practices. a culture of of some of the with fidelity; and/or benchmark assessments, observations and reflective practice characteristics however, one or that results in of this indicator more student work. student success? has begun. characteristics ☐ Instructional staff reflects on are not fully the effectiveness of the implemented. instructional design, appropriateness of resources, OR and research-based strategies, and makes necessary adjustments. characteristics of ☐ Feedback from students is this indicator are solicited and reflected upon in being order to improve the learning implemented to environment to support some degree, student success. but not consistently throughout the school. Sample Evidence The following are examples of evidence that could demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples do not have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. ☐ Professional Learning Community/Grade Level/Content Area meeting agendas, meeting minutes that document the decisions made from reflective conversations ☐ Teachers record themselves teaching and get feedback from colleagues, make instructional decisions ☐ Reflection protocols/reflection journals are used with walkthrough data, teacher videos of their own instruction, classroom observations, and/or peer observations ☐ Examples of lesson plan modifications made as a result of reflective conversations ☐ Example of protocol/staff discussion about research-based instructional strategies in lesson plans

☐ Protocols/documentation of teachers collaboratively examining lesson plans and student work samples

☐ School calendar includes collaborative meetings/time for Professional Learning Communities, data dialogue, teacher

☐ Student surveys/feedback on instructional effectiveness☐ Parent perception surveys regarding instructional effectiveness

reflection/feedback

□ Other

Standard 3: Assessment Schools systematically gather and use multiple sources of data to monitor and inform teaching and learning using a comprehensive, balanced assessment system. **School Indicator Beginning Partial Full Implementation of All** Sustained Implementation **Implementation Characteristics of this Indicator** Implementation () () Planning for □ Some ☐ The school implements a G. Assessment Sustained and System characteristics of balanced assessment system supported by AND/OR this indicator are and ensures that summative district policies, **Guiding Question:** and on-going formative systems and being ☐ Implementation implemented assessments are aligned to practices. How do we know of some of the with fidelity; curriculum and instruction. our assessment characteristics however, one or ☐ District, school, and classroom system effectively of this indicator assessments are vertically and more measures and characteristics horizontally aligned for has begun. informs teaching are not fully coherence across grades and and learning? implemented. content areas. □ Classroom assessments are OR designed to be developmentally appropriate. Classroom assessments are characteristics of aligned to the depth of this indicator are knowledge required to demonstrate proficiency with being implemented to standards. some degree, ☐ Instructional staff has access to assessment data on a continual but not basis. consistently throughout the ☐ Assessments support the school. school's system of interventions. Sample Evidence The following are examples of evidence that could demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples do not have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. ☐ Committee minutes that describe the process used to adopt and analyze assessments ☐ Documentation of professional learning on assessment literacy □ Documentation that assessments are aligned with the state standards and reflect rigor/depth of knowledge □ Documentation of adherence to administration procedures/processes for assessments ☐ School and classroom assessment plans/calendar ☐ Universal screening data for reading and/or math ☐ Inventory of assessments administered and their purposes ☐ Pacing guides and/or curriculum guides include common formative and summative assessments ☐ Data management system is in place (to track and analyze student assessment data) ☐ District-school-grade level/content level assessment alignment document shows vertical and horizontal alignment Other

| Ctll2- A | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | Standard 3: Assessment Schools systematically gather and use multiple sources of data to manitar and inform tooching and learning using a | | | | | |
| Schools systematically gather and use multiple sources of data to monitor and inform teaching and learning using a comprehensive, balanced assessment system. | | | | | | |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained | | |
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation | | |
| | | . 0 | \bigcirc | | | |
| H. Shared | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ All instructional staff can | ☐ Sustained and | | |
| Understanding | | characteristics | communicate the appropriate | supported by | | |
| | AND/OR | of this indicator | purposes and uses of | district policies, | | |
| Guiding Question: | | are being | assessment. | systems and | | |
| | ☐ Implementation | implemented | ☐ Assessment results are shared | practices. | | |
| How do we ensure | of some of the | with fidelity; | and discussed with | | | |
| that stakeholders | characteristics | however, one | instructional staff in a timely | | | |
| understand the | of this indicator | or more | manner and useful format. | | | |
| purposes and | has begun. | characteristics | ☐ Reports of student data are | | | |
| results of | | are not fully | communicated to students and | | | |
| assessments? | | implemented. | families in a manner that they | | | |
| | | OR | can understand. | | | |
| | | UK | | | | |
| | | □ AII | | | | |
| | | characteristics | | | | |
| | | of this indicator | | | | |
| | | are being | | | | |
| | | implemented to | | | | |
| | | some degree, | | | | |
| | | but not | | | | |
| | | consistently | | | | |
| | | throughout the | | | | |
| | | school. | | | | |
| Sample Evidence | | | | | | |
| | | • | n of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do i</u> | <u>not</u> have to be in place | | |
| for full implementation; a | a school may have other evi | dence that is not listed her | e. | | | |
| □ Documentati | on of professional learn | ing for staff on assessm | nent literacy | | | |
| | rent communications al | | | | | |
| ☐ Assessment p | | Jour assessment result. | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| · · | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | uides identify formative | _ | | | | |
| - | • | | s to students and families) | | | |
| □ Other | | | | | | |

| Standard 3: Assessment | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| | | e cources of data to m | nonitor and inform teaching and learnin | na ucina a |
| | nced assessment system | | ionitor and imorni teaching and learning | ig using a |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
| School malcator | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| | | | | |
| I. Data Analysis | ☐ Planning for | □ Some | ☐ Instructional staff uses an | ☐ Sustained and |
| and Decision- | | characteristics | intentional, structured process | supported by |
| Making | AND/OR | of this | to use academic and non- | district policies, |
| | , - | indicator are | academic data to inform | systems and |
| Guiding Question: | ☐ Implementation | being | instructional decisions. | practices. |
| 3 4 | of some of the | implemented | ☐ Instructional staff uses a | , |
| How do we ensure | characteristics of | with fidelity; | combination of student | |
| that decision- | this indicator has | however, one | achievement, demographic, | |
| making is based on | begun. | or more | process and perception data | |
| comprehensive | | characteristics | over time to make informed | |
| data analysis? | | are not fully | instructional decisions to meet | |
| | | implemented. | individual student needs. | |
| | | | ☐ Instructional staff | |
| | | OR | collaboratively analyzes | |
| | | | assessment data to reach a | |
| | | □ All | shared understanding and make | |
| | | characteristics | changes to instructional | |
| | | of this | practice. | |
| | | indicator are | ☐ Assessment data are used to | |
| | | being | place students, monitor | |
| | | implemented | progress and drive timely | |
| | | to some | interventions. | |
| | | degree, but | | |
| | | not | | |
| | | consistently | | |
| | | throughout | | |
| Comple Fridance | | the school. | | |
| Sample Evidence | los of avidance that could do | manstrata implamantati | on of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do</u> | not have to be in place |
| _ | a school may have other evid | | | not have to be in place |
| , | , | | | |
| ☐ Committee n | neeting agendas/minute | s that reflect collabor | ative data-based discussions and actior | ns taken |
| ☐ Professional | learning that focuses on | developing skills in th | e interpretation and use of data | |
| ☐ Professional | Learning Community do | cumentation of using | student data to inform instructional pr | actices |
| ☐ Data Dialogue evidence such as data displays, data graphs, analysis charts | | | | |
| ☐ Lesson plans reflect changes made in instruction based on data analysis | | | | |
| ☐ School Improvement team meeting/goal committee meeting agendas and minutes showing the role of data analysis in | | | | |
| improvement planning | | | | |
| Examples of protocols (defined processes) used in data analysis meetings/sessions | | | | |
| | | | ollected for Multi-Tiered Systems of Sup | |
| | | | ments and adjust common assessments | 5 |
| ☐ Meeting min | utes/agendas from teac | hers sharing successfu | ıl practices (based on data) | |
| ☐ Other | | | | |

| Standard 3: Assessm | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| · · | Schools systematically gather and use multiple sources of data to monitor and inform teaching and learning using a comprehensive, balanced assessment system. | | | | | |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained | | |
| School indicator | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation | | |
| | | | | | | |
| J. Student | ☐ Planning for | □ Some | ☐ Students understand the criteria | ☐ Sustained and | | |
| Involvement in | | characteristics | and expectations for | supported by | | |
| the Assessment | AND/OR | of this | demonstrating their learning. | district policies, | | |
| Process | | indicator are | ☐ Students receive descriptive | systems and | | |
| | ☐ Implementation | being | feedback based on their | practices. | | |
| Guiding Question: | of some of the | implemented | performance, as well as | | | |
| | characteristics of | with fidelity; | guidance on how to improve. | | | |
| How do we involve | this indicator has | however, one | ☐ Students are taught how to self- | | | |
| students in data | begun. | or more | assess and plan for | | | |
| analysis to answer | | characteristics | improvement. | | | |
| the questions: | | are not fully | ☐ Students learn to track and use | | | |
| · | | implemented. | their own achievement data and | | | |
| Where am I | | | related feedback to monitor, | | | |
| now? | | OR | evaluate, and reflect on how to | | | |
| Where am I | | | improve their own performance. | | | |
| going? How can I close | | All | | | | |
| the gap? | | characteristics of this | | | | |
| tile gah: | | indicator are | | | | |
| | | being | | | | |
| | | implemented | | | | |
| | | to some | | | | |
| | | degree, but | | | | |
| | | not | | | | |
| | | consistently | | | | |
| | | throughout | | | | |
| | | the school. | | | | |
| Sample Evidence | | • | | | | |
| | | | on of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do</u> | not have to be in place | | |
| for full implementation; a | school may have other evid | dence that is not listed he | ere. | | | |
| | | t film the land and | | | | |
| | ets are posted in studer | | | | | |
| Examples of student-generated improvement goals | | | | | | |
| • | ☐ Exemplars of individual student progress logs/charts | | | | | |
| ☐ Student portfolios ☐ Sample of student/teacher feedback form | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Sample of student/teacher feedback form☐ Rubrics designed to give students feedback and guidance | | | | | |
| ☐ Lesson plans reflect instruction in the student reflection process | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| · | reflect explicit teaching, | | • | | | |
| · · | student-led conferences | | 5 U | | | |
| • | Addent led conferences | • | | | | |
| □ Other | | | | | | |

Strand II: Leadership for Learning

School leaders shape the vision of academic success in the school and create systems that support staff, students, and families. Leaders facilitate change, analyze data to improve processes, and create an intentional focus on improving instruction and increasing student achievement. School leaders may be formal or informal, involve both individuals and teams, and work collaboratively to increase student achievement.

| Standard 4: Instructional Leadership | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | | and implementation of | a shared vision, guide and support | teaching for learning, |
| and ensure a focus | | | | |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| | 0 | 0 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| K. A Vision for | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ School leaders | ☐ Sustained and |
| Learning | | characteristics | collaboratively create and | supported by |
| | AND/OR | of this indicator | communicate a shared | district policies, |
| Guiding Question: | | are being | vision for learning aligned to | systems and |
| Usus da sus | ☐ Implementation | implemented | the district vision. | practices. |
| How do we | of some of the | with fidelity; | ☐ The school's mission and | |
| ensure that all stakeholders | characteristics of this indicator has | however, one or | school improvement goals | |
| understand and | begun. | more characteristics | are aligned with the vision for learning. | |
| commit to | Degun. | are not fully | ☐ The vision includes high | |
| attaining our | | implemented. | expectations of learning for | |
| school's vision? | | implemented. | students and staff. | |
| | | OR | ☐ The vision is understood | |
| | | | and supported by students, | |
| | | □ AII | staff, families and | |
| | | characteristics | community members. | |
| | | of this indicator | · | |
| | | are being | | |
| | | implemented to | | |
| | | some degree, | | |
| | | but not | | |
| | | consistently | | |
| | | throughout the | | |
| | | school. | | |
| Sample Evidence | | | | |
| | | | tion of this Indicator. All of these examp | les <u>do not</u> have to be in |
| piace for full implemen | ntation; a school may have | other evidence that is not i | isteu nere. | |
| ☐ Meeting ag | endas/minutes that de | monstrate collaborative | e development/revision of vision s | tatement |
| | | | tatement when developing/revising | |
| | rovement goals | | | J - 2 |
| • | rovement Plan contains | s the school's vision sta | tement | |
| • | ns demonstrate high ex | | | |
| ☐ Professional learning plans for staff reflect connections to the school vision and mission | | | | |
| ☐ Staff meeting minutes include discussion of vision statement (after it is created) | | | | |
| | | | standing and commitment to the v | rision |
| | | | , hallways, school office, website, s | |
| ☐ Agendas, n | neeting minutes from P | TA/PTO meeting or Cur | riculum Night when the school visi | on is discussed |
| ☐ Other | | | | |

Standard 4: Instructional Leadership School leaders facilitate the development and implementation of a shared vision, guide and support teaching for learning, and ensure a focus on results. **School Indicator** Beginning **Partial Full Implementation of All** Sustained Implementation Implementation **Characteristics of this Indicator** Implementation \bigcirc L. Guidance □ Planning for □ Some ☐ The improvement process Sustained and and Support for characteristics of needed to achieve the vision, supported by **Teaching and** AND/OR this indicator are mission and goals is facilitated district policies, Learning being by school leaders. systems and ☐ Implementation implemented ☐ School leaders are practices. **Guiding Question:** of some of the with fidelity; knowledgeable about characteristics of however, one or Michigan's standards and the How do we this indicator has implications for teaching and more characteristics ensure begun. learning. continuous are not fully ☐ School leaders are improvement of implemented. knowledgeable about research teaching and in the areas of curriculum, learning? OR instruction and assessment practices. ☐ School leaders identify, characteristics of support and facilitate this indicator are professional learning to being develop the capacity for all implemented to instructional staff to fully some degree, understand the curriculum but not content, research-based consistently instructional practices and throughout the quality assessment practices. school. ☐ School leaders monitor and provide feedback within the school, and to the district, about the implementation of curriculum, assessment, and instructional practices. **Sample Evidence** The following are examples of evidence that could demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples do not have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. ☐ Professional Learning Plans that focus on increased understanding of curriculum content, instructional practices and/or quality assessment practices ☐ Meeting agendas and minutes reflect use of student data to inform curriculum, instruction and assessment decisions ☐ Walk-through data reflecting appropriate enacted curriculum, research-based instructional practices and assessments ☐ Professional Learning logs kept by teachers and administrators on curriculum, instruction, and assessment ☐ Teacher evaluation components regarding curriculum, instruction, and assessment ☐ Schedule of school leader and teacher conferencing/meetings regarding curriculum, instruction, and assessment data ☐ Documentation of teacher self-reflection on their own instructional practices ☐ Meeting agendas and minutes that demonstrate school leaders ensure the use of results from the Surveys of Enacted

☐ Minutes, agendas, reports from meetings of school leaders with district leaders regarding curriculum, instruction, and

Curriculum

assessment

Other

Standard 4: Instructional Leadership School leaders facilitate the development and implementation of a shared vision, guide and support teaching for learning, and ensure a focus on results. **School Indicator** Beginning **Partial Full Implementation of All** Sustained Implementation **Characteristics of this Indicator** Implementation Implementation \bigcirc M. Results-□ Planning for ☐ Some ☐ School leaders use data and ☐ Sustained and **Focused** characteristics of research to drive decisions and supported by AND/OR this indicator are district policies, measure progress toward **Guiding Question:** being school improvement goals. systems and ☐ Implementation implemented ☐ Multiple sources of data are practices. of some of the How do we stay with fidelity; used by school leaders to focused on characteristics of however, one or monitor and evaluate programs this indicator has and practices for effectiveness. achieving our more desired results? begun. characteristics ☐ School leaders use data to hold are not fully themselves and others implemented. accountable for progress. ☐ School leaders support the OR process/system that allows teams to delve into the implications of data. characteristics of ☐ School leaders guide and this indicator are facilitate a well-defined process being to periodically collect, analyze, implemented to review and report the results of some degree, student assessments. but not consistently throughout the school. **Sample Evidence** The following are examples of evidence that *could* demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples *do not* have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. ☐ Evidence that programs and practices are monitored and evaluated for effectiveness using multiple sources of data ☐ Data documenting the fidelity of implementation of programs and practices ☐ Team meeting agendas and minutes showing use of student data to make instructional and curriculum content decisions ☐ Evidence of entrance and exit performance criteria for various programs ☐ Evidence regarding how student placements are changed based upon data on student needs □ Documentation that includes movement of students from Tiers 2-3 to Tier 1 ☐ Building-level aggregated data from Continuous Improvement and Monitoring System (CIMS) workbook ☐ Progress notes in the School Improvement Plan that include impact of implementation ☐ Written descriptions of protocols/processes for data analysis ☐ Public displays of data showing progress toward school improvement goals ☐ Other

| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| | \circ | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| N. Safe and | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ School leaders work to | ☐ Sustained and |
| Supportive | | characteristics | intentionally develop relationships | supported by |
| Environment | AND/OR | of this indicator | that model respect, trust, | district policies |
| | | are being | collaboration and high | systems and |
| Guiding Question: | ☐ Implementation | implemented | expectations for all. | practices. |
| | of some of the | with fidelity; | ☐ School leaders and staff | |
| How do we create | characteristics | however, one or | collaboratively create a safe and | |
| an environment | of this indicator | more | supportive learning environment | |
| where all students | has begun. | characteristics | through established safety and | |
| and staff succeed? | | are not fully | behavior expectations for staff and students. | |
| | | implemented. | Staff models a healthy school | |
| | | OR | climate, including social, | |
| | | OK | emotional, and physical health that | |
| | | □ AII | is desired for students. | |
| | | characteristics | ☐ Students in crisis, students at risk | |
| | | of this indicator | of dropping out, and others who | |
| | | are being | require intensive assistance are | |
| | | implemented to | identified and linked to | |
| | | some degree, | appropriate support in a timely | |
| | | but not | manner. | |
| | | consistently | ☐ Positive risk-taking by staff and | |
| | | throughout the | students to achieve established | |
| | | school. | goals is modeled and supported by | |
| | | | school leaders. | |
| Sample Evidence | | | | |
| | | d demonstrate implemental dence that is not listed here | tion of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do no</u> | t have to be in place for |
| dii iiripiementation, a | school may have other evic | defice that is not listed fiere | • | |
| ☐ Evidence th | nat anti-bullving policy i | is established, publicize | d. and implemented | |
| | | • | visible throughout the school | |
| • | ~ | • | ety and behavior expectations | |
| ☐ Communica | ations regarding high ex | xpectations for students | s and staff | |
| ☐ Documenta | ation of professional lea | arning regarding compo | nents of healthy school climates, cultural p | proficiency, etc. |
| ☐ Results of c | limate surveys (includi | ng the extent to which s | school leaders are perceived as approachal | ole, supportive, fair, |
| and consistent in applying school rules) | | | | |
| | | • | and supportive schools are addressed | |
| | | | ool Survey of Student Engagement (HSSE) e | |
| | | | pline, attendance, and drop-outs are analy | |
| | nat students receive app | propriate support (refer | ral services for students in crisis, counselir | ng, etc.) |
| ☐ Other | | | | |

| Standard 5: A Culture for Learning School leaders create a culture that ensures success for all students and staff. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| O. Shared | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ Leadership teams are committed to | ☐ Sustained and |
| Leadership for | | characteristics | improving student learning and | supported by |
| Learning | AND/OR | of this indicator | implementing the mission and goals | district policies, |
| | | are being | of the school through on-going | systems and |
| Guiding Question: | ☐ Implementation | implemented | inquiry and reflection. | practices. |
| | of some of the | with fidelity; | ☐ All staff have the opportunity for | |
| How do we create | characteristics | however, one or | leadership roles within the school. | |
| an environment | of this indicator | more | Potential successors for leadership positions are identified and | |
| that supports the growth of leaders | has begun. | characteristics are not fully | provided on-going learning | |
| in all stakeholder | | implemented. | opportunities to advance their | |
| groups? | | implemented. | leadership skills. | |
| S. oaps. | | OR | ☐ School leaders support the | |
| | | | development of collegial | |
| | | □ AII | relationships and high-performing | |
| | | characteristics | teams. | |
| | | of this indicator | ☐ Opportunities are provided for | |
| | | are being | students, family and community | |
| | | implemented to | members to develop leadership | |
| | | some degree, | capacity and assume leadership | |
| | | but not | responsibilities. | |
| | | consistently | | |
| | | throughout the | | |
| Sample Evidence | | school. | | |
| The following are exam | | d demonstrate implementa dence that is not listed her | ntion of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do no</u> se. | thave to be in place for |
| ☐ Evidence of | leadership training ar | nd learning opportunitie | 28 | |
| | stakeholders in leade | | | |
| ☐ Documentation of available leadership roles and the process used to identify potential successors to fill these roles | | | | |
| ☐ Documentation that describes the induction and mentoring process for new leaders | | | | |
| ☐ Professional library and/or resources that support leadership development | | | | |
| □ Professional learning regarding high-performing teams | | | | |
| | | | ership roles and evaluation of the leadershi | p placement process |
| | | | endas reflect shared leadership | |
| | ng minutes document ndar shows staff collal | evidence of staff makin | g decisions | |
| ☐ School cale ☐ Other | nuar snows starr collar | שטימנוטוז נוווופ | | |

| Standard 6: Organizational Management | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| • | | | processes to support teaching and lea | |
| School Indicator | Beginning Implementation | Partial Implementation | Full Implementation of All Characteristics of this Indicator | Sustained Implementation |
| | | | Characteristics of this indicator | |
| P. Communication Systems Guiding Question: How do we share information and gather input from our stakeholders? | □ Planning for AND/OR □ Implementation of some of the characteristics of this indicator has begun. | Some characteristics of this indicator are being implemented with fidelity; however, one or more characteristics are not fully implemented. OR All characteristics of this indicator are being implemented to some degree, but not consistently throughout the | □ School leaders plan, implement, and continuously improve communication systems to inform, engage, and gather input from students, instructional staff, families and the community. □ School leaders utilize a variety of appropriate communication tools and approaches. □ School leaders implement communication systems that address diversity in language and culture. □ The concerns, requests, and needs of stakeholders are addressed by school leaders in a timely and professional manner. | Sustained and supported by district policies, systems and practices. |
| Sample Evidence The following are examples of evidence that could demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples do not have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. Communication Plan Samples of ongoing communications (e.g., newsletters, websites, press releases, social media, focus groups, automated message system, parent Internet portal, community forums) Samples of communications in languages that reflect the school population Records of communications with, and from, stakeholders Evidence of translators, communications in multiple languages Results of surveys regarding satisfaction with communication system Documentation of student representatives/student council members Results of surveys regarding concerns and needs of stakeholders Public postings (website, social-media) of survey results Communication section of an emergency management plan | | | | |

| School leaders plan, allocate resources and implement systems and processes to support teaching and learning. School Indicator Beginning Implementation Implementation Characteristics of this Indicator Implementation |) I | | | |
|--|--------|--|--|--|
| · · |) | | | |
| Implementation Implementation Characteristics of this Indicator Implementation | 1 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Q. Intentional | | | | |
| Practices characteristics decision-making process with supported by | | | | |
| AND/OR of this indicator protocols that is shared and district policies | 5, | | | |
| Guiding Question: are being understood by stakeholders. systems and | | | | |
| ☐ Implementation implemented ☐ Working collaboratively, school practices. | | | | |
| How do we of some of the with fidelity; leaders develop, implement, | | | | |
| ensure that characteristics of however, one monitor and evaluate a well- | | | | |
| school-level this indicator has or more articulated school improvement | | | | |
| systems are used begun. characteristics plan aligned to the established | | | | |
| intentionally to are not fully vision, mission and school implemented. needs. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| success? OR School leaders ensure that the school improvement plan drives | | | | |
| school-level processes, | | | | |
| □ All practices, and classroom | | | | |
| characteristics activities. | | | | |
| of this indicator School leaders effectively | | | | |
| are being manage systems and address | | | | |
| implemented to barriers to optimize student | | | | |
| some degree, success (e.g., data system, | | | | |
| but not interventions, transportation, | | | | |
| consistently lunch program, volunteers, | | | | |
| throughout the parent/family organizations, | | | | |
| school. etc.). | | | | |
| Sample Evidence | | | | |
| The following are examples of evidence that could demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples do not have to be in place | | | | |
| for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Documentation of decision-making process and protocols and how it was communicated to stakeholders | | | | |
| □ Documentation of a collaborative School Improvement Planning process (minutes, agendas) | | | | |
| □ Progress notes in the School Improvement Plan showing how barriers were identified and addressed | | | | |
| ☐ Communications to staff showing the alignment of classroom activities to the School Improvement Plan | | | | |
| Copies of schedules of observations, individual teacher meetings, goal-setting process | | | | |
| □ Documentation of the ways in which staff qualifications match staff assignments □ Documentation of adjustments made to school-wide systems based on collaborative decision making | | | | |
| □ Documentation of adjustments made to school-wide systems based on collaborative decision making □ Team meeting notes from ad-hoc committees or staff meetings which addressed barriers | | | | |
| ☐ Team meeting notes from ad-hoc committees or staff meetings which addressed barriers ☐ Student schedules which show flexibility based upon student needs identified by data | | | | |
| ☐ Data from surveys that indicate stakeholder opinions regarding existing systems and processes | | | | |
| Other | | | | |

| Standard 6: Organizational Management | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| · | | • | processes to support teaching and lea | | |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained | |
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation | |
| | | 0 | 0 | | |
| R. Resource | ☐ Planning for | □ Some | ☐ Multiple sources of data are | ☐ Sustained and | |
| Allocation | / | characteristics | used by school leaders to | supported by | |
| | AND/OR | of this | prioritize resource allocations. | district policies, | |
| Guiding Question: | | indicator are | ☐ Working within district | systems and | |
| Ham da ma anama | ☐ Implementation | being | guidelines, school | practices. | |
| How do we ensure | of some of the | implemented | administrators identify, assign, | | |
| the alignment of | characteristics of | with fidelity; | promote and retain those with | | |
| resources in support of student | this indicator has | however, one or more | qualifications and proven results in serving the school's | | |
| success? | begun. | characteristics | mission. | | |
| success: | | are not fully | School leaders seek, | | |
| | | implemented. | coordinate, and intentionally | | |
| | | implemented: | use resources (e.g., budget, | | |
| | | OR | staff, time) that align with and | | |
| | | | support the school | | |
| | | □ AII | improvement plan. | | |
| | | characteristics | ☐ Students with high needs are a | | |
| | | of this | priority when budget and | | |
| | | indicator are | resource allocation decisions | | |
| | | being | are made. | | |
| | | implemented | ☐ School leaders ensure on-going | | |
| | | to some | communication between the | | |
| | | degree, but not | school and district, as well as | | |
| | | consistently | within the school, regarding the | | |
| | | throughout the | need, availability and allocation | | |
| | | school. | of resources. | | |
| Sample Evidence | | | | | |
| _ | | | on of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do</u> | <u>not</u> have to be in place | |
| for full implementation; a | a school may have other evid | dence that is not listed her | e. | | |
| ☐ Resource allo | ocation is determined by | evidence of student a | nd staff needs (surveys, interviews, dis | scussions) | |
| | • | | ident achievement needs | , | |
| | | | es supporting priority student achieven | nent areas | |
| | as/minutes that indicate | | | | |
| ☐ Documentation of school practices/policies aligned to district practices/policies | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ☐ Documentation of the budgeting process including timeline, decision-making, required participation, and | | | | | |
| communication with district leaders | | | | | |
| ☐ Evidence of u | ise of MI School Data | | | | |
| | use or software to store | | issessment data | | |
| ☐ Special educa | ation information systen | n | | | |
| ☐ Other | | | | | |

Strand III: Professional Learning

Instructional staff engages in professional learning to develop and/or refine knowledge, skills, and abilities specific to the effective delivery of job-related duties and responsibilities that support the learning outcomes of all students.

| | ssional Learning Culture | to participate in collabo | rative professional learning that ome | phasizos colloctivo |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | ipport student success. | to participate in collabo | rative professional learning that emp | onasizes collective |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | <u> </u> |
| S. Collaborative | ☐ Planning for | Some | ☐ A collaborative culture exists | ☐ Sustained and |
| Teams | AND OD | characteristics of | in which instructional staff | supported by |
| Guiding Question: | AND/OR | this indicator are being | supports each other through feedback and coaching to | district policies, systems and |
| Guiding Question. | ☐ Implementation of | implemented | implement new learning to | practices. |
| How do we | some of the | with fidelity; | increase student | practicesi |
| ensure the | characteristics of | however, one or | achievement. | |
| effectiveness of | this indicator has | more | ☐ Structures and systems are | |
| our collaborative | begun. | characteristics | in place for collaborative | |
| teams? | | are not fully | planning time for learning | |
| | | implemented. | teams. Teams utilize protocols and | |
| | | OR | ☐ Teams utilize protocols and collaboration time | |
| | | OK . | effectively. | |
| | | □ AII | ☐ Instructional staff | |
| | | characteristics of | collaborates regularly to | |
| | | this indicator are | analyze student data to | |
| | | being | inform instruction and adjust | |
| | | implemented to | delivery to better meet | |
| | | some degree, | student needs. | |
| | | but not consistently | | |
| | | throughout the | | |
| | | school. | | |
| Sample Evidence | | | | |
| | | | of this Indicator. All of these examples $\underline{\textit{do}}$ | <i>not</i> have to be in place |
| for full implementation | on; a school may have other evi- | dence that is not listed here | • | |
| ☐ Evidence | of professional learning on | ways to work collaborat | ively on teams | |
| | | | n research, peer study groups | |
| | of peer observation, feedba | _ | | |
| | ls" or other visual represen | | | |
| | of staff working together to | o progress monitor stud | ents and instruction | |
| | of data analysis meetings | u in Dunfansianal Languin | | |
| | of data dialogues that occu planning time schedule | i ili Professional Learnin | ig reams | |
| | | inities for context-embe | edded professional development | |
| - | | | grade levels and content areas | |
| ☐ Other | | | | |

| | sional Learning Culture | | showstive professional learning that on | anhasinas sallastiva | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| | as multiple opportunition portunition of the student success. | es to participate in colla | aborative professional learning that en | nphasizes collective | |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained | |
| School illulcator | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation | |
| | | | | | |
| T. Collective | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ Instructional staff teams and | ☐ Sustained and | |
| Responsibility | | characteristics | individuals take active roles in | supported by | |
| | AND/OR | of this indicator | creating and leading | district policies, | |
| Guiding Question: | , - | are being | professional learning. | systems and | |
| 3 4 | ☐ Implementation | implemented | ☐ Instructional staff holds one | practices. | |
| How do we define | of some of the | with fidelity; | another accountable for | | |
| collective | characteristics of | however, one | implementing what is learned | | |
| responsibility for | this indicator has | or more | from professional learning. | | |
| learning and the | begun. | characteristics | ☐ Instructional staff holds one | | |
| actions needed to | | are not fully | another accountable for the | | |
| support it? | | implemented. | improved student | | |
| | | | performance that should | | |
| | | OR | result from the | | |
| | | | implementation of | | |
| | | □ All | professional learning. | | |
| | | characteristics | | | |
| | | of this indicator are being | | | |
| | | implemented | | | |
| | | to some | | | |
| | | degree, but not | | | |
| | | consistently | | | |
| | | throughout the | | | |
| | | school. | | | |
| Sample Evidence | | | | | |
| | | | tion of this Indicator. All of these examples \underline{a} | do not have to be in place | |
| for full implementation | ; a school may have other e | evidence that is not listed h | nere. | | |
| □ Staff mosti | ng agendas that show to | aachare laading profess | cional loarning | | |
| | | | tation of professional learning | | |
| | peer-to-peer coaching | • | | | |
| | | •• | arning based on school needs (e.g. sur | vevs. school | |
| | nt activities) | . 01 | 3 | , ., | |
| • | · · | evel team meetings tha | t focus on the impact of collaborative | professional learning | |
| | | | | | |
| ☐ Evidence of teacher leaders sharing promising practices and receiving feedback | | | | | |
| ☐ "Instruction | nal Rounds" training pro | vided to staff | | | |
| ☐ Program Ev | aluation implementation | on data | | | |
| ☐ Description | of new teacher inducti | on and mentoring prog | grams | | |
| □ Other | | | | | |

| Standard C. Drofos | sianal Lagraina System | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Standard 8: Professional Learning System Professional learning is systemic, data-driven, differentiated, and aligns with the School Improvement Plan. It is supported by | | | | |
| | ict and occurs within a | | inglis with the school improvement rial | i. It is supported by |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
| School malcator | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| | | | | |
| U. Purposeful | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ Student and instructional staff | ☐ Sustained and |
| Planning | Ü | characteristics of | outcome, demographic, process | supported by |
| ŭ | AND/OR | this indicator are | and perception data are used to | district policies, |
| Guiding Question: | • | being | identify and align professional | systems and |
| | ☐ Implementation | implemented | learning priorities. | practices. |
| How do we use | of some of the | with fidelity; | ☐ Professional learning outcomes | · |
| data and the | characteristics | however, one or | are developed specifically to | |
| school | of this indicator | more | address school improvement | |
| improvement | has begun. | characteristics | strategy areas. | |
| process to | | are not fully | ☐ Professional learning is designed | |
| identify | | implemented. | to be continuous, job- | |
| professional | | | embedded, and aligned with | |
| learning needs? | | OR | adult learning theory. | |
| | | | ☐ Professional learning is | |
| | | All | differentiated to meet the | |
| | | characteristics of | individual needs of instructional | |
| | | this indicator are | staff. | |
| | | being implemented to | Professional learning is designed | |
| | | implemented to some degree, | to include a process to monitor and evaluate implementation | |
| | | but not | and impact. | |
| | | consistently | and impact. | |
| | | throughout the | | |
| | | school. | | |
| Sample Evidence | | 30110011 | | |
| • | ples of evidence that could | demonstrate implementation | on of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do</u> | not have to be in place |
| | | evidence that is not listed he | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |
| ☐ Team meeting agendas, minutes that indicate discussion of alignment between professional learning and school | | | | |
| improvement initiatives | | | | |
| ☐ School Improvement Plan showing the relationship between data analysis (student achievement data, survey data, | | | | |
| student demographic data), professional development initiatives, and instructional strategies | | | | |
| Results of teacher surveys that reflect needs related to professional learning | | | | |
| □ Professional learning calendar including team time/staff meetings | | | | |
| □ Documentation that coaches and teacher leaders are trained in adult learning theory | | | | |
| □ Description of job-embedded professional learning opportunities provided to teachers (peer coaching, etc.) □ Documentation of District-Provided Professional Development (DPPD) that is aligned with school's needs | | | | |
| □ School Improvement Plan includes evidence of resource allocation to support implementation of professional learning | | | | |
| ☐ Evidence of differentiated professional learning to meet staff needs | | | | |
| ☐ Completion of MDE Program Evaluation Tool | | | | |
| ☐ Other | | | | |

Standard 8: Professional Learning System Professional learning is systemic, data-driven, differentiated, and aligns with the School Improvement Plan. It is supported by the school and district and occurs within a collaborative culture. **School Indicator** Beginning Partial **Full Implementation of All** Sustained Implementation Implementation **Characteristics of this Indicator** Implementation \bigcirc ☐ Some V. Impact of ☐ Planning for ☐ Instructional staff understands Sustained **Professional** characteristics of and can articulate the and Learning AND/OR this indicator are professional learning outcomes supported by and expectations. district being **Guiding Question:** ☐ Implementation implemented ☐ Instructional staff implements policies, of some of the skills learned in professional with fidelity; systems and How do we characteristics however, one or learning, as intended. practices. ensure that of this indicator ☐ Instructional staff receives more professional has begun. characteristics feedback and support to fully learning is are not fully implement new learning. implemented with implemented. ☐ School leaders monitor the extent fidelity and to which professional learning positively impacts OR impacts adult instructional student practices. achievement? ☐ School leaders monitor the impact characteristics of of changed adult instructional this indicator are practices on student achievement. being ☐ Sufficient resources exist to implemented to ensure fidelity of implementation of the professional learning. some degree, but not consistently throughout the school. **Sample Evidence** The following are examples of evidence that *could* demonstrate implementation of this Indicator. All of these examples *do not* have to be in place for full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. ☐ Team meeting agendas and minutes that indicate ongoing discussions about implementation and impact of professional learning (including analysis of student achievement data) ☐ Plan for evaluating the effectiveness of professional development and its impact on student achievement is reflected in the School Improvement Plan ☐ Communications to and from stakeholders that provide progress updates on implementation of professional learning and professional learning communities (e.g., newsletters, website, Board reports, social media) ☐ Student work samples that show evidence of implementation of staff professional learning ☐ Evidence of allocated time for the support of implementation of new learning (Professional Learning Communities, ☐ Samples of interviews/focus groups/surveys that provide data on monitoring implementation and evaluating the impact of the professional learning ☐ Observation protocol/walk-through data regarding application of skills and knowledge from professional learning ☐ Sample Individual Professional Learning Plans ☐ Teacher journal or learning log of implementation of professional learning ☐ Completion of the MDE Program Evaluation Tool ☐ Other

Standard 9: Communication

Strand IV: School, Family and Community Relations

All staff actively maintain purposeful and positive relationships with families and the community to support student learning.

The school uses a variety of approaches to ensure that communications are two-way, ongoing, relevant, and culturally

| responsive. | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained | |
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| W. Approaches | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ The school provides | ☐ Sustained and | |
| and Tools | | characteristics of | information related to | supported by | |
| | AND/OR | this indicator are | curriculum, instruction and | district policies, | |
| Guiding Question: | | being | assessment through printed | systems and | |
| | ☐ Implementation | implemented | materials, on-line resources, | practices. | |
| How do we use a | of some of the | with fidelity; | parent/family conferences at | | |
| variety of | characteristics | however, one or | varying times and | | |
| approaches and tools to reach all | of this indicator | more characteristics | informational sessions at | | |
| of our | has begun. | are not fully | varying times and in varying modes. | | |
| stakeholders? | | implemented. | ☐ Ongoing, two-way verbal, | | |
| stakeriolaers: | | implemented. | written, digital and personal | | |
| | | OR | communications are used to | | |
| | | OII. | improve services and | | |
| | | □ AII | programs. | | |
| | | characteristics of | ☐ School leadership monitors | | |
| | | this indicator are | and evaluates the | | |
| | | being | effectiveness of its | | |
| | | implemented to | communication strategies. | | |
| | | some degree, | | | |
| | | but not | | | |
| | | consistently | | | |
| | | throughout the | | | |
| | | school. | | | |
| Sample Evidence | | | | | |
| | | | on of this Indicator. All of these examples | s <u>do not</u> have to be in place | |
| for full implementation | ; a school may have other (| evidence that is not listed he | re. | | |
| ☐ Communica | ation Plan that reflects: | a variety of approaches a | and tools | | |
| | | | | nication approaches and | |
| Examples of information related to curriculum, instruction, and assessment in various communication approaches and tools | | | | | |
| ☐ School new | sletter | | | | |
| ☐ School website, Internet portal for parents/families, social media (multiple platforms) | | | | | |
| ☐ Teacher websites | | | | | |
| ☐ Documentation of surveys and/or focus groups with stakeholders (questionnaires, discussion questions, data reports) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | ☐ Communications to parents/families indicating how services and programs were improved based on their feedback | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Translators available to parents | | | | | |
| - | forum minutes | | | | |
| ☐ Other | | | | | |

| Standard 9: Communication The school uses a variety of approaches to ensure that communications are two-way, ongoing, relevant, and culturally | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| responsive. | ariety of approaches to | ensure that communic | ations are two-way, ongoing, relevant, | , and culturally |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| | . 0 | . 0 | \circ | |
| X. Cultural | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ The school arranges flexible | ☐ Sustained and |
| Responsiveness | | characteristics | meetings and formats to | supported by |
| | AND/OR | of this indicator | address family and community | district policies, |
| Guiding Question: | | are being | needs. | systems and |
| How do we | ☐ Implementation of some of the | implemented with fidelity; | School communications and | practices. |
| ensure that all | characteristics | however, one | activities are responsive to families' varied ability levels, | |
| communication is | of this indicator | or more | schedules, diversity in | |
| responsive to the | has begun. | characteristics | language, socio-economic | |
| diversity of our | | are not fully | status, cultural traditions, non- | |
| stakeholders? | | implemented. | traditional configurations and | |
| | | | belief systems. | |
| | | OR | | |
| | | □ AII | | |
| | | characteristics | | |
| | | of this | | |
| | | indicator are | | |
| | | being | | |
| | | implemented | | |
| | | to some | | |
| | | degree, but not | | |
| | | consistently | | |
| | | throughout the school. | | |
| Sample Evidence | | 301001. | | |
| | ples of evidence that could | demonstrate implementa | tion of this Indicator. All of these examples \underline{a} | do not have to be in place |
| for full implementation | ; a school may have other o | evidence that is not listed h | nere. | |
| □ D | mantinga onen berre- | and navent tasses are | onformed that share a consister of large | ione and time- |
| | | | onferences that show a variety of locat | tions and times |
| | | | | |
| translated into languages reflected at the school | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ☐ Social medi | ☐ Social media | | | |
| ☐ Websites | □ Websites | | | |
| ☐ Media relea | ☐ Media releases | | | |
| ☐ Student, pa | rent and employee har | dbooks | | |
| ☐ Bilingual sta | | | | |
| · · | ☐ Surveys, focus groups, informal conversations, and meeting formats are used to gather information from families and | | | |
| the commu | nity | | | |
| ☐ Other | | | | |

| Chandand 10. France | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Standard 10: Engag | | ios and community orga | nizations to strengthen student, staff, | family and |
| | | les and community orga | mizations to strengthen student, stan, | iaiiiiy, aiiu |
| community learning School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
| School mulcator | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| | | | Characteristics of this indicator | |
| Y. Learning | ☐ Planning for | ☐ Some | ☐ Programs are provided for | ☐ Sustained and |
| Opportunities | | characteristics of | families that are age | supported by |
| Opportunities | AND/OR | this indicator are | appropriate to their students' | district policies, |
| Guiding Question: | AND/ON | | social, academic, and | · · |
| Guiding Question: | ☐ Implementation | being implemented | developmental needs (e.g., | systems and practices. |
| How do we | ☐ Implementation of some of the | with fidelity; | enhancing literary experiences, | practices. |
| ensure that our | characteristics of | however, one or | | |
| families and | this indicator | more | giving appropriate assistance and encouragement, monitoring | |
| community | has begun. | characteristics | homework). | |
| partners are | iias beguii. | are not fully | ☐ Families, students and | |
| integral parts of | | implemented. | community members actively | |
| our learning | | implemented. | participate as integral members | |
| community? | | OR | of the school improvement | |
| community: | | OK | process. | |
| | | ☐ All characteristics | ☐ Families and community | |
| | | of this indicator | members participate actively on | |
| | | are being | committees to provide input on | |
| | | implemented to | decisions that support student | |
| | | some degree, but | success. | |
| | | not consistently | Juccess. | |
| | | throughout the | | |
| | | school. | | |
| Sample Evidence | | 301001. | | |
| • | nles of evidence that could | demonstrate implementatio | on of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do</u> | not have to be in place |
| | | vidence that is not listed her | | nave to be in place |
| ' | , | | | |
| ☐ Schedule of | family programs addre | ssing students' social, ac | ademic and developmental needs | |
| ☐ School Improvement Team roster listing parents and/or community members | | | | |
| ☐ Evidence of parent/community leadership in the school improvement process, on committees, etc. | | | | |
| ☐ Minutes and agendas from meetings that reflect parent/family involvement in school-based decisions | | | | |
| ☐ Course syllabi/course overview or learning outcomes provided to parents/families in Parent Handbook or during | | | | |
| Curriculum Night | | | | |
| □ Syllabi/course overview and/or learning outcomes provided online | | | | |
| ☐ Flexible school office hours to meet needs of parents/families | | | | |
| ☐ National PTA or PTO website/links are included on school website, along with other school related resources (i.e., | | | | |
| tutoring or counseling, etc.) | | | | |
| ☐ Information on learning opportunities made available to family and community members | | | | |
| ☐ Other: | | | | |

| Standard 10: Engagement | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | llaboratively with famili | es and community orga | nizations to strengthen student, staff, far | mily, and community |
| learning. | . | | 5 H. I | |
| School Indicator | Beginning | Partial | Full Implementation of All | Sustained |
| | Implementation | Implementation | Characteristics of this Indicator | Implementation |
| 7 Banto and Car | O Dispuis for | U Carra | | |
| Z. Partnerships | ☐ Planning for | □ Some | There is a volunteer system in | ☐ Sustained and |
| Guiding Question: | AND/OP | characteristics of this indicator | place for parents and community members to share their areas of | supported by |
| Guiding Question: | AND/OR | | | district policies, |
| How do we invite | ☐ Implementation | are being implemented | expertise and interest, at varying times, to enhance student | systems and practices. |
| and involve family | Implementation of some of the | with fidelity; | success. | practices. |
| and community | characteristics of | however, one or | ☐ Families and community | |
| partners to | this indicator has | more | members are involved in the | |
| support student | begun. | characteristics | development of the district and | |
| success? | освин. | are not fully | school-level parent involvement | |
| | | implemented. | plans. | |
| | | | ☐ The school partners with | |
| | | OR | community agencies to | |
| | | | coordinate social services for | |
| | | □ AII | schools and families and/or to | |
| | | characteristics | provide programs based on | |
| | | of this indicator | identified needs. | |
| | | are being | | |
| | | implemented to | | |
| | | some degree, | | |
| | | but not | | |
| | | consistently | | |
| | | throughout the | | |
| | | school. | | |
| Sample Evidence | | | | |
| | | | on of this Indicator. All of these examples <u>do no</u> | <u>t</u> have to be in place for |
| full implementation; a school may have other evidence that is not listed here. | | | | |
| ☐ Documentation of parent/family opportunities to be involved in enhancing student success (descriptions, times, | | | | |
| locations, etc.) | | | | |
| ☐ Working agreements between school and partner agencies | | | | |
| ☐ Documentation of parent/family and community volunteer training (sign-in sheets, agendas, training materials, etc.) | | | | |
| ☐ School improvement committee, school/district curriculum and/or program committees sign-in sheets | | | | |
| ☐ Family/community member surveys regarding input in the continuous improvement processes | | | | |
| ☐ Appreciation and acknowledgement events for families and community volunteers | | | | |
| ☐ Participation logs and/or agreements between school and community partners | | | | |
| ☐ Partnerships with community donors (sponsorships) | | | | |
| ☐ Documentation that administrators attend outreach meetings (e.g. rotary clubs, chamber of commerce, etc.) | | | | |
| □ Other | | | | |